## THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

CH	$\Delta R$	IFF	TOYD	١

	Plaintiff,	Case No. 07-12725
VS.		HONORABLE DAVID M. LAWSON HONORABLE STEVEN D. PEPE

## SHARON FURNO and AMANDA HUNTER.

D - f - .. 1 - .. 4 -

Defendants.	•
	/

## \*Amended\* Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Dkt. #7)

On August 17, 2007, Plaintiff filed his Motion To Appoint Counsel. All pretrial matters were referred to the undersigned on August 2, 2007, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(A).

For the reasons indicated below, Plaintiff's motion \*is **DENIED.** 

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), a federal court may request counsel to represent an indigent plaintiff. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); *Reneer v. Sewell*, 975 F.2d 258, 261 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992). Yet, appointment of counsel for an indigent party is a privilege justified only under exceptional circumstances. *Lavado v. Keohane*, 992 F.2d 601, 606 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993). To determine whether exceptional circumstances necessitating the appointment of counsel are present, courts consider the type of case involved, the ability of the plaintiff to represent himself, the complexity of the factual and legal issues, and whether the plaintiff's claims are frivolous or have an extremely small likelihood of success. *Id.*; *Reneer*, 975 F.2d at 261; *Mars v. Hanberry*, 752 F.2d 254, 256 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985).

Plaintiff's Complaint focuses on numerous claims, many of which were dismissed by

Judge David M. Lawson (Dkt. #4). The remaining claims raise issues of malicious prosecution

by Defendants. The factual issues raised by Plaintiff are clear and straightforward. Plaintiff has

demonstrated in his Complaint that he understands the legal issues and can present these issues

to a Court in a satisfactory manner. Also, it must be noted that there is a grave scarcity of

attorneys available to represent indigent persons in this Court. This Court has no funds to secure

attorneys for indigent parties in civil cases, and has great difficulty finding attorneys willing to

volunteer time to serve in *pro bono* cases without payment. Their services must be carefully

rationed to the most appropriate cases.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

Date: August 31, 2007

Ann Arbor, Michigan

s/Steven D. Pepe

United States Magistrate Judge

2

## \*CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on <u>August 31, 2007</u>, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk Court using the ECF system which will send electronic notification to the following: <u>not applicable</u>, and I hereby certify that I have mailed United States Postal Service the paper to the following non-ECF participants: <u>Charlie Floyd #603580</u>, <u>Standish Maximum Correctional Facility</u>, 4713 W. M-61, Standish, MI 48658

s/ James P. Peltier
James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S. District Court
600 Church St.
Flint, MI 48502
810-341-7850
pete\_peliter@mied.uscourts.gov